	Case 5:14-cv-01942-EJD	Document 6	Filed 07/10/14	Page 1 of 4	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	PETER WILSON,) No.	. C 14-01942 EJI	O (PR)	
12	Petitioner,)) OR	DER OF DISMI	SSAL; GRANTING	
13	v.		OTION FOR LE <i>i</i> Forma paupe	AVE TO PROCEED CRIS	
14)			
15	WARDEN,)			
16	Respondent.)			
17) (Do	ocket No. 2)		
18	Petitioner, a California prisoner, filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus				
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his state conviction based on a new claim of				
20	ineffective assistance of counsel. Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma				
21	pauperis, (Docket No. 2), is GRANTED.				
22					
23	DISCUSSION				
24	A second or successive petition containing previously raised or new claims may				
25					
26					
27	¹ Petitioner filed a separate petition alleging newly discovered evidence, see <u>Wilson v. Warden</u> , No. C 13-05579 EJD (PR), and another alleging actual innocence, see <u>Wilson v. Warden</u> , No. C 14-00698 EJD (PR). Petitioner is advised that he should present all claims challenging the same state conviction in a single habeas action.				
28					
	Order of Dismissal; Deny COA P:\PRO-SE\EJD\HC.14\(01942Wilson_dism(sec≻).wpd	ı 1			

not be filed in the district court unless the petitioner first obtains from the United States Court of Appeals an order authorizing the district court to consider the petition. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A).

It appears that the instant habeas petition is second or successive because Petitioner filed a prior habeas petition in this district, see Wilson v. Campbell, Case No. 06-2242 JSW (PR), which challenged the same state conviction out of Santa Clara County in 2000. In that case, the Court dismissed the petition as untimely.² Section 2244 applies when the previous petition was dismissed as barred by the statute of limitations which constitutes a disposition on the merits. McNabb v. Yates, 576 F.3d 1028, 1029 (9th Cir. 2009); Murray v. Greiner, 394 F.3d 78, 81 (2d Cir. 2005).

Petitioner has not presented an order from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals authorizing this Court to consider a renewed challenge to his state conviction. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). Accordingly, the instant petition must be dismissed in its entirety as second and successive.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice as second and successive. Petitioner may file another petition in this Court if he obtains the necessary order from the Ninth Circuit.

No certificate of appealability is warranted in this case. See Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254 (requiring district court to rule on certificate of appealability in same order that denies petition). Petitioner has not shown "that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." Slack v. McDaniel, 529

²Petitioner appealed the matter, and the Ninth Circuit denied his request for a certificate of appealability on September 24, 2008. <u>See Wilson v. Campbell</u>, No. 08-16320, slip op. at 1 (9th Cir. 2008).

U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, (Docket No. 2), is GRANTED. This order terminates Docket No. 2. DATED: 7/10/2014 United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PETE WILSON,		Case Number: CV14-01942 EJD		
	Petitioner,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
v.				
WARDE	N,			
	Respondent.			
	ersigned, hereby certify the orthern District of Californ	hat I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District nia.		
hereinafte	by placing said copy(ies) er listed, by depositing said	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) d envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into located in the Clerk's office.		
Dated:	7/10/2014	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk		